

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,784	02/28/2002	Tommy Mack Davis	38,816	8988
7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Ted M. Anthony			BARRY, CHESTER T	
Perret Doise, APLC Post Office Drawer 3408			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Lafayette, LA 70502			. 1724	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/086,784	DAVIS ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
η,		Chester T. Barry	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 29-34</u> is/are pending in the aputa 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8, 29-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the correct	epted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)囗 <i>/</i> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment	(s)			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/086,784

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1-7, 29-34 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 102(b) over Hater for the reasons given at pages 3-4 of the previous Office action. In claim 1, "[a]llowing" the microbial population to propagate is tantamount to "not preventing" the microbial population to propagate. Hater does not takes steps to prevent propagation of the microbes on the biosupport itself, so Hater describes "allowing" them to propagate on the support. Furthermore, Hater expressly describes "additives  $13\ldots$  which function to stimulate the growth of the bacteria . . . within the sock" (col 1 line 66-col 2 line 3). Stimulation of growth is the same thing as stimulation of propagation.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 USC Sec. 103(a) over Hater, as set forth above, further in view of Armstrong, for the reasons given at page 4 of the previous Office action.

Applicant's argument have been considered, but are not persuasive. The argument that ""said microbial cultures propagate on the surface of the carrier substrate" is not commensurate in scope with the new claim limitation, i.e., the "allowing to propagate" limitation.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1724

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152